

### United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/889,455	01/09/2002	Andrew Schoop	Le A 33 364	3784	
75	90 12/13/2002				
Jeffrey M Greenman			EXAMINER		
Vice President,	Vice President, Patents and Licensing			DAVIS, BRIAN J	
Bayer Corporati			DA VI3, I	OKIAN J	
400 Morgan Lai			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
West Haven, CT 06516			ARTONI	TALERIONDER	
			1621		
			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/889,455	SCHOOP ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Brian J. Davis	1621			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status 1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
2a)□		· is action is non-final.				
3)□	,		osecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
•	Claim(s) 1-3,6 and 10-16 is/are pending in the					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
•	6) Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 10-16</u> is/are rejected.					
, i	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
<ul> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> <li>15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 1.  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455

Art Unit: 1621

## **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election (Paper No. 7), with traverse, of the compound of example 49 as the elected species is acknowledged. As applicant has presented no arguments in support of the traverse, the restriction is considered proper and is hereby made FINAL.

#### Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: some diagrams appear to be missing a hydrogen substituent, in particular those of examples 48-58. Appropriate correction is required.

The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Additionally, the disclosure is objected to because it does not contain an abstract on a separate sheet. A copy of the first page of the related PCT application is not acceptable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455

Art Unit: 1621

art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for treating or preventing angiogenesis, etc., does not reasonably provide enablement for *preventing* cancer. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Cancer is neither a simple nor a single disease. While inhibiting angiogenesis, for instance, has been one approach for the treatment of cancer, it does not follow that inhibiting angiogenesis prevents cancer.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

The elected species has been searched but is not deemed free of the prior art.

Claims 1-3, 6 and 10-16, in so far as they read on the elected species, are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

unpatentable over claims 1,2 and 9-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,291,503. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the elected species falls within applicant's previously allowed Markush group.

In the anticipation of a terminal disclaimer, the search has been expanded as called for under current Office Markush practice. This resulted in all species being searched and deemed free of the remaining prior art, vide infra.

# Allowable Subject Matter

The closest prior art appears to be WO 97/36862, cited by the applicant in the IDS, which teaches compounds structurally related to those of the instant invention as  $\alpha_{\nu}\beta_{3}$  integrin antagonists or inhibitors (page 1 line 4). The compound of example 45 is the most closely related to those of the instant invention, the acyl amino group being  $\alpha$  to the carboxylic acid group instead of  $\beta$ , as in the instant compounds. WO 97/36862 neither teaches nor suggests the instant compounds. Nor would it have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the compounds of the prior art in order to arrive at those of the instant invention. There is no motivation to do so.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian J. Davis whose telephone number is 703-305-7129. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 09/889,455

Art Unit: 1621

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Johann Richter can be reached on 703-308-4532. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1123.

Brian J. Davis

December 11, 2002